

Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization

Comprehensive Transportation Plan

May 10, 2017



Table of Contents

Executive Summary..... i

Chapter 1: Analysis of the Existing and Future Transportation System

1.1 Analysis Methodology and Data Requirements..... 1-1

- a) Roadway System Analysis..... 1-13
 - i. Traffic Crash Assessment..... 1-13
 - ii. Bridge Deficiency Assessment..... 1-21
- b) Public Transportation and Rail..... 1-22
 - i. Public Transportation..... 1-22
 - ii. Rail..... 1-35
- c) Bicycles and Pedestrians 1-38
- d) Land Use..... 1-43

1.2 Consideration of the Natural and Human Environment 1-44

1.3 Public Involvement..... 1-45

Chapter 2: Recommendations

2.1 Unaddressed Deficiencies..... 2-1

2.2 2040 MTP Projects not Included in the CTP..... 2-9

2.3 Implementation..... 2-12

2.4 Problem Statements..... 2-14

- a) Highway..... 2-14
 - i. Full Problem Statements..... 2-16
 - ii. Minimum Problem Statements..... 2-63
 - iii. Referenced Problem Statements..... 2-88
- b) Public Transportation and Rail..... 2-89
- c) Bicycle..... 2-89
- d) Pedestrian..... 2-90
- e) Multi-use..... 2-90

Appendices

Appendix A: Resources and Contacts.....	A-1
Appendix B: Comprehensive Transportation Plan Definitions.....	B-1
Appendix C: CTP Inventory and Recommendations.....	C-1
Appendix D: Typical Cross-Sections.....	D-1
Appendix E: Level of Service Definitions.....	E-1
Appendix F: Bridge Deficiency Assessment.....	F-1
Appendix G: Socio-Economic Data Forecasting Methodology.....	G-1
Appendix H: Public Involvement.....	H-1
Appendix J: Existing Transportation Plans.....	J-1

List of Figures

Figure 1a: CTP Highways – Durham County Congestion for 2040 No Build	1-4
Figure 2: CTP Highways – Central Durham County Congestion for 2040 No Build Scenario	1-5
Figure 3: CTP Highways – Northern Durham County Congestion for 2040 No Build Scenario	1-6
Figure 4: CTP Highways – Southeast Durham County Congestion for 2040 NO Build Scenario	1-7
Figure 5: CTP Highways – Southwest Durham County Congestion for 2040 No Build Scenario	1-8
Figure 6: CTP Highways – Orange County Congestion for 2040 No Build Scenario	1-9
Figure 7: CTP Highways – Chapel Hill/Carrboro Congestion for 2040 No Build Scenario	1-10
Figure 8: CTP Highways – Hillsborough Congestion for 2040 No Build Scenario	1-11
Figure 9: CTP Highways – Chatham County Congestion for 2040 No Build Scenario	1-12
Figure 10: Crash Locations that Exceed One Safety Warrant	1-15
Figure 11: Public Transportation Map: Existing Bus Routes and Peak Frequency	1-23
Figure 12: Public Transportation Map: Existing Bus Routes and Peak Frequency (Insets)	1-24
Figure 13: Public Transportation Map: Existing Bus Routes and Off-Peak Frequency	1-25
Figure 14: Public Transportation Map: Existing Bus Routes and Off-Peak Frequency (Insets)	1-26
Figure 15: CTP (Bus Transit Demand) Population and Employment Density per Acre – and – Suggested Transit Service	1-31
Figure 16: CTP (Fixed-Guideway Transit Demand) Pop. And Emp. Density per Acre- and –Suggested Fixed Guideway Service	1-32
Figure 17: CTP Transit TAZ Mean Income as Percentage of Regional Medium Income	1-34
Figure 18: CTP Bicycle and Pedestrian Daily Trip Generation by TAZ	1-39
Figure 19: CTP – Bicycle and Pedestrian Potentially Hazardous Intersection	1-40
Figure 20: I-40 Proposed Improvements from I-85 to Wake County	2-17
Figure 21: US 15-501 Proposed Improvements from US 64 Bypass to Smith Level Road (SR 1919)	2-23

Figure 22: US 15-501 (Fordham Boulevard) – Proposed Improvements from NC 86 (South Columbia Street) to I-40	2-25
Figure 23: US 15-501 – Proposed Improvements to I-40 to US 15-501 Bypass	2-29
Figure 24: US 15-501 Bypass – Proposed Improvements from US 15-501 Business to I-85	2-35
Figure 25: US 70 Proposed Improvements from Pleasant Drive (SR 1815) to Wake County Line	2-41
Figure 26: NC 147 Proposed Improvements from I-40 to I-85	2-45
Figure 27: NC 54 Proposed Improvements from US 15-501 (Fordham Boulevard) to NC 55	2-51
Figure 28: Northern Durham Parkway – Proposed Improvements from US 70 to I-85	2-57
Figure 29: “Typical” Highway Cross Sections	D-2
Figure 30: Level of Service Illustrations	E-2
Figure 31: Deficient Bridges	F-2
Figure 32: Deficient Bridges (Insets)	F-3
Figure 33: SE Data – Household (Durham)	G-4
Figure 34: SE Data – Household (Orange)	G-5
Figure 35: SE Data – Household (Chatham)	G-6
Figure 36: SE Data – Employment (Durham)	G-7
Figure 37: SE Data – Employment (Orange)	G-8
Figure 38: SE Data – Employment (Chatham)	G-9

List of Tables

Table 1: Major Highway Recommendations	i
Table 2: 2014 HSIP – Potentially Hazardous Intersection Locations	1-16
Table 3: 2014 HSIP – Potentially Hazardous Section Locations	1-19
Table 4: Existing Bus Route Frequency within DCHC MPO	1-27
Table 5: Peak-Hour Periods per Agency	1-29
Table 6: Active/Inactive Rail Corridor Data	1-37
Table 7: Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Potentially Hazardous Intersections	1-41
Table 8: Environmental and Community Features	1-44
Table 9: Unaddressed Deficiencies	2-4
Table 10: 2040 MTP Highway Projects no included in the CTP	2-10
Table 11: I-40 Volume/Capacity/Recommendations	2-18
Table 12: US 15-501 (Fordham Blvd) Volume/Capacity/Recommendation	2-25
Table 13: US 15-501 Counts/Volume/Recommendations	2-30
Table 14: US 15-501 Bypass Volume/Capacity/Recommendations	2-36
Table 15: US 70 (Durham) Volume/Capacity/Recommendations	2-42
Table 16: NC 147 Volume/Capacity/Recommendations	2-46
Table 17: NC 54 Volume/Capacity/Recommendations	2-52
Table 18: Northern Durham Parkway Volume/Capacity/Recommendations	2-58
Table 19: Bicycle-Pedestrian-Multiuse Inventory	C-3
Table 20: Highway Inventory	C-27
Table 21: Highway Interchanges and Grade Separations	C-48

Table 22: Public Transportation Inventory	C-57
Table 23: Rail Inventory	C-62
Table 24: Deficient Bridges	F-4
Table 25: Population Guide Totals	G-2
Table 26: Employment Guide Totals	G-2
Table 27: Public Involvement	H-1