The two Aspirational scenarios show significant decreases in miles and hours traveled. The higher density and land use mixes in the AIM-High development foundation likely causes this change.

The two Aspirational scenarios show significant decreases in all-day and peak period congestion. The higher density and land use mixes in the AIM-High development foundation likely causes this change.
The Aspirational development foundation that is matched with the heavy transit mobility investment has a slightly lower percentage of motorists who drive alone (single-occupied vehicle – SOV).

Mode share varies little among the four Alternatives. This could be caused by possible travel demand model (i.e., Triangle Regional Model – TRM) insensitivity to non-motorized travel opportunities, or by the dilution of rail station area mode changes, for example by the larger suburban development.